

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,286	11/14/2001	Donald M. McDonald	UCSF-077CON2	6344
75	90 03/31/2004		EXAM	INER
Paula A. Borden			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S	
Bozicevic, Field and Francis LLP Suite 200 ART UNIT			PAPER NUMBER	
200 Middlefield Road			1615	
Menlo Park, Ca	A 94025		DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/004,286 MCDONALD ET AL.		AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gollamudi S Kishore, PhD	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>		dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Noticant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office	e letter mailed on <u>7</u> -25 <u>-0</u> 4.		
 (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not a period for reply was received on, but it does not go a proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on, but it does not go and the proposed reply was received on 	Mailing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on	·	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection			
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); c CFR 1.114).	or (3) a timely filed F	Request for
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6	ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	35).		
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85).	eriod for payment of the issue fee (an	ate of Malling or Fr nd publication fee) s	et in the Notice of
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 7		CFR 1.18(d), is \$.
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 			
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is
(b) \square No corrected drawings have been received.			
 ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 	rence rendered on and becaus ms.	se the period for see	eking court review
7. The reason(s) below:			
		/S Kuru	~
		Gollamudi S Kisl Primary Examine Art Unit: 1615	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37		e promptly filed to